

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

EMANUEL HOLMAN,

Defendant.

CASE NO.CR05-5249RBL

ORDER GRANTING
CONTINUANCE OF
TRIAL DATE

An Agreed Order to Continue the Trial has been filed by the parties.

The Court has reviewed the pleadings and for the reasons stated, the parties Joint Motion to Continue the Trial Date is **GRANTED**

In view of the foregoing, the court finds and rules as follows:

1. Failure to grant a continuance in this matter would deny the defense the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Title 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).
2. The ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Title 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). The period of delay resulting from this continuance from **JANUARY 9, 2006 to FEBRUARY 21, 2006** is excluded for speedy trial computation purposes under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B) as this is a reasonable period of delay.


1 ACCORDINGLY:

2 The Jury Trial is **CONTINUED** to **FEBRUARY 21, 2006 at 9:00 a.m.**

3 The Pretrial Conference is rescheduled for **FEBRUARY 14, 2006 at 9:00 a.m.**

4 The Motions Cutoff date is extended to **JANUARY 30, 2006.**

5
6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED this 3rd day of January, 2006.

8
9 

10 RONALD B. LEIGHTON
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28